

method described by Bowler (1) and plasma volume by that described by Crooke and Morris (2).

The various parameters were estimated before manipulation as also 30, 60 and 90 min after raising portal venous pressure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate the effect of acute rise of portal venous pressure on blood pressure, and plasma volume, extra-cellular and interstitial fluid volumes and urine flow.

Increase in portal venous pressure resulted in fall of blood pressure which was statistically significant at all three intervals after manipulation (Table I). The observations are in accordance with those of Elman and Cole (3).

TABLE I : Pre-manipulation levels of blood pressure, plasma volume, extra-cellular fluid volume (ECFV), interstitial fluid volume and urine output, and those after raising portal venous pressure. The results are expressed as mean \pm S.E. The number of animals N=19 in each case.

	Portal pressure (CMH_2O)	Blood pressure mm Hg	Plasma volume ml	ECFV ml	Interstitial fluid volume ml	Urine out- put drops
Initial	2.84 \pm 0.21	115.79 \pm 2.01	457.24 \pm 21.92	1878.30 \pm 205.06	1421.06 \pm 183.14	2.40 \pm 0.19
30 min	13.26 \pm 0.26	98.21 \pm 2.81	467.95 \pm 19.53	1979.80 \pm 255.29	1511.85 \pm 235.76	0.4 \pm 0.004
After rise	P < 0.01	P < 0.01	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	P < 0.01
60 min	13.26 \pm 0.26	88.95 \pm 2.96	503.11 \pm 16.90	1979.60 \pm 255.27	1476.49 \pm 238.37	0
After rise	P < 0.01	P < 0.01	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	
90 min	13.26 \pm 0.28	85.79 \pm 2.88	529.00 \pm 20.90	1979.70 \pm 255.26	1450.70 \pm 234.36	0
After rise	P < 0.01	P < 0.01	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	P < 0.05	

The plasma volume increased steadily after manipulation. The extra-cellular fluid volume also showed an increase in the first 30 minutes after manipulation. Later, however, it remained constant. The difference between extra-cellular fluid volume and plasma volume was taken as a measure of interstitial fluid volume, which increased to a maximum 30 min after manipulation, gradually decreasing thereafter.

An increase in the extra-cellular fluid volume and plasma volume 30 min after raising portal venous pressure suggests a movement of fluid into both spaces. The increase

in interstitial fluid volume occurred only after 30 min and later decreased, whereas the plasma volume showed a steady increase. This suggests that the fluid came into the interstitial space soon after raising the portal venous pressure and then gradually shifted into the vascular system. The source of this fluid could be the intra-cellular compartment. Such a fluid movement from the intra-cellular to the extra-cellular space, most likely served an important physiological function of restoring the falling blood pressure and hence combating the state of shock resulting from acute portal hypertension.

The observations on urine output differed from those of Liang (4), who observed diuresis followed later by an antidiuretic response at a higher portal venous pressure (15 cm H₂O). No diuretic response was observed in the present study, probably as the effect of mild increase in portal venous pressure was not noted. The anuria which resulted may have been reflex in origin, as also postulated by Liang (4), or it may be subsequent to the state of shock and falling blood pressure. The additive effect of the two may explain the quick onset of anuria in the present study.

REFERENCES

1. Bowler, R.G. Determination of thiocyanate in blood serum. *J. Biol.*, **38** : 385-388, 1944.
2. Crooke, A.C. and C.J.O. Morris. The determination of plasma volume by Evan's blue method. *J. Physiol.* **101** : 217-233, 1942.
3. Elman, R. and W.H. Cole. Haemorrhage and shock as causes of death following acute portal hypertension. *Arch. Surg.*, **28** : 1166-1168, 1934.
4. Liang, C.C. The influence of portal circulation on urine flow. *J. Physiol.*, **214** : 571-581, 1971.